## Exact differential equations (help on p. 341 and Exercise 12.15)

We are supposed to consider a differential equation in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P d x+Q d y=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let's consider the example

$$
\begin{equation*}
P d x+Q d y=e^{y} d x+\left(x e^{y}+1\right) d y=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We calculate $\frac{\partial P}{\partial y}=e^{y}$ and $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}=e^{y}$. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial P}{\partial y}=\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

so by Clairaut's theorem, there is a function $f(x, y)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P d x+Q d y=d f \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A differential equation for which this happy accident occurs is called exact.
[REmARK: Clairaut's theorem is valid only if $P(x, y)$ and $Q(x, y)$ are given (and nonsingular) throughout a "simply connected" region in the plane. The canonical counterexample is

$$
\frac{-y}{x^{2}+y^{2}} d x+\frac{x}{x^{2}+y^{2}} d y=" d \theta "
$$

for which

$$
\frac{\partial P}{\partial y}=\frac{y^{2}-x^{2}}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{2}}=\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}
$$

except at the origin, but $\theta$, if it exists, must increase by $2 \pi$ along any path encircling the origin counterclockwise - thus must be discontinuous along some curve joining the origin to infinity, contradicting the hypothesis (4) that it has $P$ and $Q$ as partial derivatives everywhere except the origin. Indeed, if one defines plane polar coordinates by

$$
x=r \cos \theta, \quad y=r \sin \theta
$$

it is easy to see that "locally",

$$
\theta=\tan ^{-1} \frac{y}{x}+\text { constant }
$$

which has those partial derivatives, but there is no way to choose the constant so that $\theta$ is continuously defined "globally": there must be a jump by $2 \pi$ somewhere. In modern terminology, a differential expression $P d x+Q d y$ satisfying (3) is called "closed", whereas one satisfying (4) is called "exact". Exact implies closed, but closed implies exact only locally.]

Now we proceed to find $f$ in the case (2), where putatively

$$
d f=e^{y} d x+\left(x e^{y}+1\right) d y=0
$$

Integrating with respect to $x$ with $y$ fixed, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, y)=x e^{y}+r(y) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r$ for the moment is an unknown function. [Note that in this calculation, unlike everywhere else in the discussion of ordinary differential equations, we must think of $x$ and $y$ as independent variables, not related (at the moment) by being coordinates of points on a particular curve that solves the differential equation.] Differentiate (5) with respect to $y$ :

$$
x e^{y}+1=Q=\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}=x e^{y}+r^{\prime}(y)
$$

(Presumably what Katz means by "an ordinary differential equation in one variable" is the resulting condition $r^{\prime}=1$.) It follows that $r(y)=y+$ constant, and hence

$$
f(x, y)=x e^{y}+y
$$

is a function satisfying (4).
Why do we care? Well, what this calculation shows is that if we have a curve satisfying (2), or more generally (1), then $f(x, y)$ does not change as we move along that curve. That is, the curve is a locus

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, y)=K \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some arbitrary but fixed constant $K$. (In modern language, we would need an initial condition to determine $K$.) In our example, $x e^{y}+y=K$; unfortunately, there is no solution for $y$ in terms of elementary functions of $x$ in this case. Remember, however, that for Clairaut and his predecessors, the main interest was in the curve, not the formula for $y$, and they would probably have been satisfied with (6) as a specification of the locus.

What happens if the happy accident does not occur? Indeed, a modern student would probably write (2) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y}{d x}=-\frac{e^{y}}{x e^{y}+1}, \quad \text { hence } \quad \frac{e^{y}}{x e^{y}+1} d x+d y=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and be stumped. If you are lucky, you may find a function $I(x, y)$ such that your differential expression becomes an exact differential after you multiply it by $I$. In case (7) we know that $I=x e^{y}+1$ will work (because I constructed the problem that way). A more useful example is the general first-order linear equation $y^{\prime}+P y=Q$, for which the integrating factor $I=e^{\int P d x}$ leads to the solution at the bottom of p. 353 of Katz. It is noteworthy that $I$ is the reciprocal of a solution of the corresponding homogeneous equation, $y^{\prime}+P y=0$, which may be found by elementary means. Euler's third-order equation, to which we turn next, provides a much more subtle example of an integrating factor that is the reciprocal of a previously known solution - in that case, of the equation itself.

