

**MATH 409-08a, Exam 1**

**SOLUTIONS TO SELECTED QUESTIONS**

7. (i) As  $S$  is bounded, there exist numbers  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $A \leq s \leq B$  for every  $s$  in  $S$ . Likewise there exist numbers  $A'$  and  $B'$  such that  $A' \leq t \leq B'$  for every  $t \in T$ . Therefore

$$A + A' \leq s + t \leq B + B', \quad \forall s \in S, t \in T,$$

proving that the set  $S + T$  is bounded below by  $A + A'$  and bounded above by  $B + B'$ .

(ii) Let  $\alpha := \sup(S)$  and  $\beta := \sup(T)$ . If  $s \in S$  and  $t \in T$ , then  $s \leq \alpha$  and  $t \leq \beta$ , so  $s + t \leq \alpha + \beta$ . As this is true for every  $s \in S$  and  $t \in T$ , we conclude that  $\alpha + \beta$  is an upper bound for  $S + T$ . Next we show that no number smaller than  $\alpha + \beta$  can be an upper bound for  $S + T$ . Consider such a number  $\alpha + \beta - \epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ . As  $\alpha - \epsilon/2 < \alpha$ , and the latter is the least upper bound of  $S$ , there exists some  $s_0 \in S$  such that  $\alpha - \epsilon/2 < s_0$ . Similarly there is some  $t_0 \in T$  such that  $\beta - \epsilon/2 < t_0$ . Therefore

$$\alpha + \beta - \epsilon = (\alpha - \epsilon/2) + (\beta - \epsilon/2) < s_0 + t_0.$$

As  $s_0 + t_0 \in S + T$ , the inequality above demonstrates that  $\alpha + \beta - \epsilon$  cannot be an upper bound for  $S + T$ .

8. In each of the examples below, verifications are left to the reader.

(i) Let  $a_n = (-1)^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Then  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is divergent, hence not Cauchy. However  $|a_n| = 1$  for every  $n$ , hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n| = 1$ ; in particular the sequence  $\{|a_n|\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is Cauchy.

(ii)  $a_n = n$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Verify that  $m_n = n$  for each  $n$ , hence the result.

(iii) Choose

$$a_n = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd;} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\sup\{a_k : k \geq n\} = 0$  for every  $n$ , so  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ . Now

$$a_n^2 = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd;} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here  $\sup\{a_k^2 : k \geq n\} = 1$  for every  $n$ , so  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^2 = 1$ .

(iv)  $a_n = (n + 2)^2$ ,  $b_n = n^2$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

9. Suppose that statement (a) holds. We show that (b) follows. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Assumption (a) provides a positive integer  $N$  such that  $|a_n| = |a_n - 0| < \epsilon$  for every  $n \geq N$ . Thus  $||a_n| - 0| < \epsilon$  for every  $n \geq N$ , and (b) is proven.

Assume now that (b) holds; we show that (c) follows. Let  $T > 0$  be given. As (b) holds, there is a positive integer  $M$  such that  $|a_n| < 1/T$  for every  $n \geq M$ . Therefore  $1/|a_n| > T$  for every such  $n$ , and this proves (c).

Finally, assume that (c) holds. Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , this assumption gives a positive integer  $K$  such that  $1/|a_n| > 1/\epsilon$  for every  $n \geq K$ . It follows that  $|a_n| < \epsilon$ , and (a) is proven.

**10.** Suppose firstly that (a) holds, that is,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ . A theorem proved in class asserts the following: if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$ , then  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$ . Thus (b) follows.

Conversely, suppose that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ . Let  $M_n := \sup\{a_k : k \geq n\}$ , and recall that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n$ . Thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n = 0$  in our case. As each  $a_n$  is given to be nonnegative, we have

$$0 \leq a_n \leq M_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbf{N},$$

whence the required result obtains via the Sandwich Principle.

**11.** (i) As  $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  are bounded, so is the sequence  $\{s_n + t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . So each of these three sequences admits a finite limit inferior. Now let

$$m_n(s) := \inf\{s_k : k \geq n\}, \quad m_n(t) := \inf\{t_k : k \geq n\}, \quad \text{and} \quad m_n(s+t) := \inf\{s_k + t_k : k \geq n\}, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

In view of the remark above, each of the sequences  $\{m_n(s)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $\{m_n(t)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , and  $\{m_n(s+t)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to a finite limit; in fact,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_n(s), \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_n(t), \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (s_n + t_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_n(s+t). \quad (1)$$

Now, if  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $k \geq n$ , then  $s_k + t_k \geq m_n(s) + m_n(t)$ , so the latter number is a lower bound for the collection of numbers  $\{s_k + t_k : k \geq n\}$ . Consequently,

$$m_n(s+t) = \text{glb}\{s_k + t_k : k \geq n\} \geq m_n(s) + m_n(t).$$

Letting  $n$  approach infinity and invoking (1) yields the desired result.

(ii) Let  $s_n := (-1)^n$  and  $t_n := (-1)^{n-1}$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

(iii) Choose  $s_n = 1 = t_n$  for every  $n$ .