

## Final Examination

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

1. (20 pts.) Rearrange these names into historical order, earliest to latest:

Thales, Klein, Euclid, Lambert, Lobachevsky

Thales, Euclid, Lambert, Lobachevsky, Klein

2. (30 pts.) State the **converse** of the Alternate Internal Angle Theorem, and prove that it is equivalent to the Euclidean parallel postulate (**HE**).

[See Greeberg pp. 164 and 175 and the student-written proof of Prop. 4.8 on the web site.]

3. (Multiple choice – each 5 pts.)

- (a) Poincaré’s disk model is better than Klein’s in which respect?
- (A) It satisfies more of the axioms.
  - (B) It is *conformal*, meaning that it represents angles accurately.
  - (C) Its lines are straight Euclidean lines.
  - (D) [none of these]

B

- (b) Consider these propositions:

- 1. Two (distinct) points determine a unique line.
- 2. Two (distinct) nonparallel lines determine a unique point.

Which of the following statements is **FALSE**?

- (A) We assumed one of these as an axiom and proved the other from it.
- (B) The uniqueness claim in 1. can easily be proved from 2.
- (C) In elliptic geometry, this pair of facts demonstrates “duality”.
- (D) 2. is one of Hilbert’s axioms.
- (E) Euclid’s first postulate (**EI**) is essentially 1.

D

- (c) In hyperbolic geometry the ratio of the circumference of a circle to its radius is

- (A) greater than  $2\pi$ .
- (B) equal to  $2\pi$ .
- (C) less than  $2\pi$ .

A

- (d) Hyperbolic lengths are different from Euclidean lengths in the

- (A) Klein model.
- (B) Poincaré disk model.
- (C) Poincaré half-plane model.
- (D) [all of these]
- (E) [none of these]

D

- (e) Which of these statements is **FALSE** in hyperbolic geometry?
- (A) Rectangles do not exist.
  - (B) Two parallel lines always have a common perpendicular.
  - (C) Summit angles of Saccheri quadrilaterals are acute.
  - (D) Every valid theorem of neutral geometry remains valid.
  - (E) Every ray into the interior of an angle intersects any segment joining the two sides of the angle.

B

- (f) We needed to prove the alternate interior angle theorem and exterior angle theorem before proving the congruence criterion
- (A) SSS
  - (B) ASA
  - (C) SAA
  - (D) SSA
  - (E) SAS

C

- (g) Which statement is equivalent to  $p \vee \neg q$ ?
- (A)  $\neg p \wedge q$
  - (B)  $p \Rightarrow q$
  - (C)  $q \Rightarrow p$
  - (D)  $q \vee \neg p$
  - (E)  $\neg(\neg p \vee q)$

C

- (h) Which of these statements is **TRUE**? If someone produces a proof of the Euclidean parallel postulate within neutral geometry,
- (A) the author will be instantly acclaimed as a genius.
  - (B) it will show that Euclidean geometry is consistent.
  - (C) it will show that Euclidean geometry is inconsistent.
  - (D) it will show that the proof by Gergonne was logically valid after all.
  - (E) it will show that one of the proofs by Legendre was logically valid after all.

C [With respect to (A), the author will be instantly rejected as a crank, even if he turns out in the end to be correct.]

- (i) In this course, a *right angle* is **defined** as one that
- (A) is congruent to its supplement.
  - (B) contains  $180^\circ$ .
  - (C) is a base angle of a Saccheri quadrilateral.
  - (D) is the foot of a perpendicular line.

A

- (j) Similar triangles are congruent  
 (A) always.  
 (B) never: this is the notoriously fallacious “AAA” congruence criterion.  
 (C) in hyperbolic geometry.  
 (D) only in Dehn models built on non-Archimedean fields.

C

4. (25 pts.) Recall *Wallis’s Axiom*:

Given any triangle  $\triangle ABC$  and any segment  $DE$ , there exists a triangle  $\triangle DEF$  having  $DE$  as one of its sides such that  $\triangle ABC \sim \triangle DEF$  (that is,  $\angle A \cong \angle D$ ,  $\angle B \cong \angle E$ ,  $\angle C \cong \angle F$ )

Prove that Euclid’s 5th postulate (**EV**) implies Wallis’s axiom. *Hint*: Use the fact that the angles of a triangle sum to  $180^\circ$  if **EV** holds.

[This is Exercise 5-3(a) in Greenberg, p. 229. The proof is clear from the figure and hint given there.]

5. (25 pts.) Do **ONE** of these: (Use blank sheet.) **15 pts. extra credit for doing both**  
 (A) In the Poincaré disk model, the formula for arc length is

$$ds^2 = \frac{4(dx^2 + dy^2)}{[1 - (x^2 + y^2)]^2}.$$

Introduce polar coordinates  $(\rho, \theta)$  in the  $(x, y)$  plane and show that the further coordinate transformation

$$\rho = \tanh\left(\frac{r}{2}\right)$$

converts the arc length to

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + \sinh^2 r \, d\theta^2.$$

Explain why this result justifies the vague claim that hyperbolic geometry describes “a sphere of imaginary radius”.

*Hint:* 
$$\tanh^{-1} y = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1+y}{1-y}.$$

- (B) Suppose that  $A$  and  $B$  are points in the Poincaré disk and that  $P$  and  $Q$  (points on the circle bounding the disk) are the ends of the Poincaré line through  $A$  and  $B$ . The *cross-ratio* is defined as

$$(AB, PQ) \equiv \frac{\overline{AP}}{\overline{AQ}} \frac{\overline{BQ}}{\overline{BP}},$$

where, for instance,  $\overline{AB}$  is the *Euclidean* distance between  $A$  and  $B$ . Show how to use the cross-ratio to define a distance (length) function in the hyperbolic geometry that is *additive* in the sense that

$$d(AB) = d(AC) + d(CB)$$

when  $A * C * B$  along a Poincaré line. (Verify that it is indeed additive.)