

Midterm Test – Solutions

1. (Multiple choice – each 5 pts.) (Circle the correct capital letter.)

(a) The simplest example of an *elliptic* incidence geometry has

- (A) 3 points.
- (B) 4 points.
- (C) 5 points.
- (D) 7 points.
- (E) [none of these]

A

(b) Which of the following is *not* provable from the Hilbert I and B axioms?

- (A) If D is in the interior of $\angle CAB$, then so is every other point on \overrightarrow{AD} except A.
- (B) Every point D in the interior of $\angle CAB$ lies on a segment joining a point E on \overrightarrow{AB} to a point F on \overrightarrow{AC} .
- (C) If D lies on line \overleftrightarrow{BC} , then D is in the interior of $\angle CAB$ if $B * D * C$.
- (D) If \overrightarrow{AD} is between \overrightarrow{AC} and \overrightarrow{AB} , then \overrightarrow{AD} intersects segment BC.
- (E) If D lies on line \overleftrightarrow{BC} , then $B * D * C$ if D is in the interior of $\angle CAB$.

B [the Warning, p. 115]

(c) Which of these is indisputably true?

- (A) Babylonians were aware of the Pythagorean theorem 1000 years before Pythagoras.
- (B) The ancient Hebrews believed that π is exactly equal to 3.
- (C) Euclid's hostility to Aristotle's theory of the "finite universe" led him to his postulate that lines are actually infinitely long.
- (D) Euclid stated the parallel postulate in the form we most often use today.
- (E) Euclid's proof of the side-angle-side theorem is still valid in Hilbert's geometry.

A [See Greenberg, p. 2. (In C, "hostility" is sheer speculation.)]

(d) Axiom C-4 authorizes us to construct an angle $\angle B'A'C'$ congruent to a given angle $\angle BAC$ adjacent to a given ray $\overrightarrow{A'B'}$. The result is unique provided that

- (A) the *side* of the line $\overleftrightarrow{A'B'}$ on which the new angle appears is prescribed.
- (B) segment AB is congruent to segment $A'B'$.
- (C) the Euclidean parallel postulate holds.
- (D) $\angle BAC$ is a right angle.
- (E) congruence of angles is an equivalence relation.

A

2. (10 pts.) Simplify $\neg\forall x \exists y [(x > 0 \wedge x \leq y) \vee \forall n (x > y^n \Rightarrow x + y > (x + y)^n)]$.
 (Push the “ \neg ” in as far as you can!) (In Greenberg’s notation, \neg is \sim , and \wedge is $\&$.)

$$\exists x \forall y \neg [(x > 0 \wedge x \leq y) \vee \forall n (x > y^n \Rightarrow x + y > (x + y)^n)]$$

$$\exists x \forall y [\neg(x > 0 \wedge x \leq y) \wedge \exists n \neg(x > y^n \Rightarrow x + y > (x + y)^n)]$$

$$\exists x \forall y [(x \leq 0 \vee x > y) \wedge \exists n (x > y^n \wedge x + y \leq (x + y)^n)]$$

3. (15 pts.) State the three Hilbert **incidence** axioms.

[See Greenberg, p. 69.]

4. (20 pts.) Do **ONE** of these [(A) or (B)]. (Extra credit for doing both is limited to 10 points.)

(A) State and prove Pasch’s theorem.

[See Greenberg, p. 114.]

(B) Recall that Axiom B-4 states:

For any line l and any three points A, B, C not lying on l ,

(i) If A and B are on the same side of l and if B and C are on the same side of l , then A and C are on the same side of l .

(ii) If A and B are on opposite sides of l and if B and C are on opposite sides of l , then A and C are on the same side of l .

Here is the question [(B)]:

(a) State the definitions of “opposite side” and “same side” that are in effect in Axiom B-4.

(b) Explain how Axiom B-4 (unlike the definitions you just stated) defines a “side” and shows that a line has exactly two sides.

[See Greenberg, pp. 110–112, and notes, pp. 23–24. You could use the language of equivalence relations and partitions, or not.]

5. (15 pts.) State the *crossbar theorem* and draw a sketch to illustrate it.

[See Greenberg, p. 116. The relevant sketch is the one at the *bottom* of the page.]

6. (*Essay – 20 pts.*) *IMPROVEMENTS IN THE REWRITE GET ONLY HALF CREDIT. E.G., IF YOUR INITIAL SCORE IS 16, YOUR FINAL SCORE WON'T EXCEED 18.*

Let \mathcal{S} be a set. Recall that an *equivalence relation* on \mathcal{S} is a binary relation \sim with the properties (for all A, B, C in \mathcal{S})

- (1) reflexivity: $A \sim A$,
- (2) symmetry: $A \sim B \Rightarrow B \sim A$,
- (3) transitivity: $A \sim B \wedge B \sim C \Rightarrow A \sim C$.

Recall also that a *partition* of \mathcal{S} is a collection $\{S_i\}$ of subsets of S with the properties

- (4) disjointness: $S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$,
- (5) exhaustiveness: $S = \bigcup_i S_i$.

Show that, given a partition of \mathcal{S} , the definition

$$A \sim B \iff A \text{ and } B \text{ belong to the same } S_i$$

defines an equivalence relation on \mathcal{S} . Then show that, conversely, every equivalence relation on \mathcal{S} determines a partition of \mathcal{S} .