

## Solutions to Exercises 2.19 and 2.21

**2.19(a)**, *Method 1a* (credit John Cesar and others): We are given that  $\vec{a}$  has constant spatial direction, so its spatial components at any time are the same as at any other time except possibly for an overall multiplicative constant:

$$\vec{a}(t_1) = (a^0, a^1, a^2, a^3), \quad \vec{a}(t_2) = (b^0, Ca^1, Ca^2, Ca^3).$$

According to (2.32),  $\vec{U} \cdot \vec{a} = 0$ ; it follows that in the body's MCRF,  $a^0 = 0 = b^0$ . But we also are given that  $\vec{a}$  always has the same magnitude, so we get

$$a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 = C^2(a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2).$$

Thus  $|C| = 1$ , and to preserve direction  $C = +1$ . Thus at all times

$$\vec{a}(t) = (0, a^1, a^2, a^3) \quad \text{in MCRF,}$$

and its spatial part is the Galilean acceleration.

*Method 1b* (credit Sean Grant and others):

$$\vec{U} = \frac{d\vec{x}}{d\tau} = \gamma \left( 1, \frac{dx}{dt}, \frac{dy}{dt}, \frac{dz}{dt} \right).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{a} = \frac{d\vec{U}}{d\tau} &= \gamma \left[ \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \left( 1, \frac{dx}{dt}, \frac{dy}{dt}, \frac{dz}{dt} \right) + \gamma \left( 0, \frac{d^2x}{dt^2}, \frac{d^2y}{dt^2}, \frac{d^2z}{dt^2} \right) \right] \\ &= \gamma \left[ \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{v} \end{pmatrix} + \gamma \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{a} \end{pmatrix} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{a}$  is the Galilean acceleration. Furthermore,

$$\gamma = (1 - v^2)^{-1/2} \Rightarrow \frac{d\gamma}{dt} = v \frac{dv}{dt} (1 - v^2)^{-3/2}.$$

If we are in the MCRF,  $\gamma = 1$  and  $\mathbf{v} = 0$  and hence  $\frac{d\gamma}{dt} = 0$ . So we end up with

$$\vec{a} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{a} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{in MCRF.}$$

*Method 2: Do 2.21 first.*

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \begin{pmatrix} t \\ x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(\lambda/a) \\ \sinh(\lambda/a) \end{pmatrix} \equiv \vec{U}.$$

Note that  $\vec{U} \cdot \vec{U} = -1$ , so we can identify  $\vec{U}$  as the 4-velocity and  $\lambda$  as the proper time. Then

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \vec{U} = \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} \begin{pmatrix} t \\ x \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{a} \begin{pmatrix} \sinh(\tau/a) \\ \cosh(\tau/a) \end{pmatrix},$$

which has constant magnitude  $a^{-2}$  (and constant spatial direction, since we're considering only one spatial dimension). Thus this worldline has uniform acceleration,  $\alpha = 1/a$ . The Lorentz transformation into the MCRF (mapping  $\vec{U}$  to  $(1, 0)$ ) is

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(\lambda/a) & -\sinh(\lambda/a) \\ -\sinh(\lambda/a) & \cosh(\lambda/a) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

Applied to  $\vec{a}$ ,  $\Lambda$  yields

$$\frac{1}{a} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix},$$

which again proves 2.19(a). (Clearly this worldline can be embedded into 4-dimensional space-time, the two extra dimensions remaining inert. Any other uniform-acceleration worldline can be put into this form by rotation and translation of coordinates.)

**2.19(b), Method 1:** Use Ex. 2.21. Without loss of generality we can write

$$t = \frac{1}{\alpha} \sinh(\alpha\tau), \quad x = \frac{1}{\alpha} \cosh(\alpha\tau),$$

$$\vec{U} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(\alpha\tau) \\ \sinh(\alpha\tau) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \gamma v \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow v = \frac{\sinh(\alpha\tau)}{\cosh(\alpha\tau)} = \tanh(\alpha\tau).$$

Solving, we have

$$\tau = \frac{1}{\alpha} \sinh^{-1}(\alpha t)$$

and hence

$$v = \tanh(\sinh^{-1}(\alpha t)). \quad (2)$$

We can get rid of the hyperbolic functions:

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \frac{\sinh(\sinh^{-1}(\alpha t))}{\cosh(\sinh^{-1}(\alpha t))} \\ &= \frac{\alpha t}{\sqrt{1 + (\alpha t)^2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The inverse equation inverse to (3) (needed to answer the numerical question) is

$$t = \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{v}{\sqrt{1 - v^2}}.$$

The change in  $x$  is

$$\Delta x = \frac{1}{\alpha} \cosh(\alpha\tau) - \frac{1}{\alpha}$$

(note that  $x(0) = 1/\alpha$ , not 0), so

$$\Delta x = \frac{1}{\alpha} [\cosh(\sinh^{-1}(\alpha t)) - 1] = \frac{1}{\alpha} [\sqrt{1 + (\alpha t)^2} - 1].$$

For  $\alpha = 10 \text{ m/s}^2 = \frac{1}{3} \times 10^{-7} \text{ s}^{-1}$  and  $v = 0.999$ , one gets  $t \approx \frac{2}{3} \times 10^9 \text{ s} \approx 20$  years. (A convenient fact to remember is that 1 year  $\approx \pi \times 10^7$  seconds.) (Numerical answers on student papers were all over the lot, even among those who had the correct formulas.)

*Method 2(a)* (credit Robert DeAlba and others): (I suppress the two transverse dimensions.) Transform

$$\vec{U} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{a} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

from the MCRF back to the inertial frame by [in notation set by (1)]

$$\Lambda^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(\lambda/a) & \sinh(\lambda/a) \\ \sinh(\lambda/a) & \cosh(\lambda/a) \end{pmatrix},$$

getting

$$\vec{U} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \gamma v \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} v\gamma\alpha \\ \gamma\alpha \end{pmatrix} = \vec{a} = \frac{d\vec{U}}{d\tau}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{d\gamma}{d\tau} = v\gamma\alpha, \quad \frac{d}{d\tau}(\gamma v) = \gamma\alpha.$$

From either of these equations and the definition of  $\gamma$  you get after several steps of calculus and algebra

$$\alpha = \gamma^2 \frac{dv}{d\tau}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \int d\tau &= \int \gamma^2 dv = \int \frac{dv}{1-v^2}, \\ \alpha\tau &= \tanh^{-1} v \Rightarrow v = \tanh(\alpha\tau). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Since

$$v = \frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{dx/d\tau}{dt/d\tau} \equiv \frac{U^1}{U^0}$$

and  $\vec{U}$  is normalized to  $-1$ , it follows that

$$\vec{U} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(\alpha\tau) \\ \sinh(\alpha\tau) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{dt}{d\tau} = U^0 = \cosh(\alpha\tau) \Rightarrow t = \frac{1}{\alpha} \sinh(\alpha\tau),$$

so (2) follows from (4) and you can proceed as in Method 1.

There were several variations on this theme that somehow led directly to (3) without going through (2).

**2.19(c):** In either approach above, we already know the relations among  $\tau$ ,  $t$ , and  $x$ .

From  $\tau = \alpha^{-1} \sinh^{-1}(\alpha t)$  and the numerical values of  $\alpha$  and  $t$  above, we get  $\tau = 1.14 \times 10^8 \text{ s} = 3.6 \text{ years}$ . Then from either formula for  $\Delta x$  above we get  $\Delta x = 6.37 \times 10^8 \text{ s} = 1.9 \times 10^{17} \text{ m}$ .

For the center of the galaxy, we have  $\Delta x = 6.7 \times 10^{11} \text{ s}$  and

$$\tau = \frac{1}{\alpha} \cosh^{-1}(1 + \alpha \Delta x) = 3.2 \times 10^8 \text{ s} \approx 10 \text{ years}.$$